```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2
                      ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                ) Case 1:11-cr-00556
 4
                Plaintiff,
5
                                  Alexandria, Virginia
          v.
                                   June 1, 2012
6
  RANCES ULICES AMAYA,
                                  9:17 a.m.
7
                Defendant.
                                  Pages 1 - 24
8
9
                   TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING
10
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANTHONY J. TRENGA
11
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12
   APPEARANCES:
13
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
14
        G. ZACHARY TERWILLIGER, ESQUIRE
15
        MICHAEL J. FRANK, ESQUIRE
        OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
16
        2100 Jamieson Avenue
        Alexandria, Virginia 22314
17
        (703) 299-3700
  FOR THE DEFENDANT:
18
19
        MICHAEL S. ARIF, ESQUIRE
        MELISSA M. SANCHEZ, ESQUIRE
2.0
        MARTIN, ARIF & GREENE, PLC
        8001 Braddock Road, Suite 100
21
        Springfield, Virginia 22151
        (703) 323-1200
22
   THE DEFENDANT, RANCES ULICES AMAYA, IN PERSON
23
24
25
       COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```

```
1
             THE CLERK: Criminal Case 1:11-556, United
2
   States of America v. Rances Ulices Amaya.
3
             Will counsel please note their appearances
  for the record.
4
5
             MR. TERWILLIGER: Good morning, Your Honor.
  Zach Terwilliger and Michael Frank on behalf of the
6
7
  United States.
8
             THE COURT: Good morning.
9
             MR. ARIF: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael
10 Arif and Melissa Sanchez on behalf of Mr. Amaya.
11
  Mr. Amaya is present in the courtroom, Judge.
12
             THE COURT: We're here for sentencing.
13
             Mr. Arif, have you reviewed the presentence
14 Preport with Mr. Amaya, and do you have any objections?
15
             MR. ARIF: No objections. We have reviewed
16
  it. No objections, Judge.
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Terwilliger, any objections
18 by the government to the presentence report?
19
             MR. TERWILLIGER: Your Honor, the United
20 States does not have any objections to the presentence
21
  report.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Does the government
23
  want to be heard on the sentencing factors?
24
             MR. TERWILLIGER: Yes, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Please.
```

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

23

MR. TERWILLIGER: Your Honor, the United States respectfully requests that this Court impose a sentence of life imprisonment. The government does not ask for this sentence lightly. But as the following makes abundantly clear, life sentences are reserved for the most heinous of crimes and the most violent offenders, and the defendant meets both criteria in spades.

First, looking to the nature and circumstances of the offense, Your Honor, as this Court heard directly from the victims at trial, it does not get much more gruesome or dire than the nature and circumstances of this offense.

Preying upon vulnerable girls in need of help; responding to that call for help through the forced oral sex of a juvenile; the forcible violation of a young girl; gang rape; sexual slavery; forced sexual intercourse with multiple strangers per day; physical, mental, and sexual abuse of juveniles; victims who lived in constant fear, trauma, and physical violation; 14-year-olds being made to have sex with physically dirty adult men in work vans, hatchbacks, sleezy motels, and construction sites; 24 young girls plied with drugs and alcohol to the point of incapacitation only to awake to the horror of this

1 reality day after day.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

The defendant's actions took this despicable and depraved act, this prostitution scheme, and he personally added the horror of sexual assault, rape, and gang members running trains on juveniles. Given these circumstances, the defendant has earned a life in prison.

Looking to the history and the characteristics of the defendant, given the horrific nature and circumstances of this case, it's hard to imagine any other sentencing factor rivaling that of the nature and circumstances, but defendant manages to accomplish this through his criminal history and his complete lack of character.

The defendant is a violent, sexually depraved menace to society. His criminal record begins about the time he reentered the United States at the age of 13 and runs continuously until the present. He has 12 convictions over a 10-year period, and he's appeared at sentencing on at least 12 occasions, 12 opportunities to heed a judge's warning, make positive change, and turn things around.

Each time he responded to those sentencings 24 by committing more crimes, oftentimes more serious crimes, and not just crimes, Your Honor, but crimes

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that hurt other people from theft to assault to machete attacks and now sex trafficking.

The defendant was given 12 chances. Frankly, those additional chances, the suspended sentences provided him the freedom to destroy these three young girls' lives. Ironically, the number 13, which holds so much significance for the defendant, this is his 13th conviction. He cannot and should not be given a 13th chance.

Even more telling than his convictions, Your Honor, are defendant's actions, which reveal his dangerous and evil characteristics. When locked up in ijuvenile probation, he spit on staff, recruited other youths into MS-13, and was vindictive towards others.

Upon release from juvenile probation, he attacked innocent partygoers with a machete at a neighbor's Sweet 16 party. He then trespassed into a high school where he had been expelled, and while others were ostensibly trying to learn something, he beat a student while yelling MS-13 forever.

When locked up for these crimes, he almost caused a near riot in the jail and exposed himself to a teacher that was devoting her time to teaching inmates.

This deeply flawed character revealed itself not only in this case but in defendant's actions here

5

6

8

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

2.3

25

1 | in this very courtroom. He has shown absolutely no He has disrespected the sanctity of this court and our justice system by throwing up gang signs and his middle finger in open court.

His history and characteristics reveal an evil and sadistic person, one who has spent the vast majority of his adult and adolescent life hurting people. For the sake of these three victims as well as the victims that come before, he should never be permitted to live freely again and have the possibility of hurting someone else.

Looking to deterrence, Your Honor, as this Court is aware, this represents the fourth MS-13 juvenile sex trafficker who has come before the court in the last ten months. Deterrence has never been more critical than it is at this juncture. Nothing will send a stronger message to MS-13 members and would be sex traffickers than a life in prison.

As a representative of the United States, I am often bringing up the notion of deterrence at sentencing, but what's different here is that the defendant has absolutely earned this life sentence. Deterrence is not the reason for a life sentence. is one of many reasons for a life sentence.

Regarding protection of the public, the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

1 defendant's constant and escalating recidivism make it 2 a fact that the only way the public can be protected 3 now is through incapacitation. This is no longer a hypothetical question, Your Honor.

When given the opportunity to live amongst the community, the defendant hurts people. government respectfully asks this Court to do what previous courts have failed to do, protect the public from the defendant's evil actions.

Finally, Your Honor, looking at other similarly situated defendants and to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, the government requests that a sentence of life imprisonment should be imposed.

Out of all other juvenile sex traffickers brought before this Court, the defendant has by far the 16 most lengthy criminal history. The defendant has amassed 15 criminal history points. He has a criminal 18 history category VI.

Jose Santamaria, aka Sniper, who was sentenced on this very floor of this courthouse, received a life sentence; yet, he only had two criminal history points. Alonso Cornejo, Casper, had one criminal history point, and he received a sentence of 25 years.

The defendant possesses an adjusted offense

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

1 level of 42. Jose Santamaria was 1 point higher at 43 and got life. As I just mentioned, Jose Santamaria's criminal history was minuscule when compared to that of the defendant. Alonso Cornejo had an even lower offense level of 40.

Looking specifically to the coconspirators in this case, unlike his coconspirator Cornejo, the defendant has accepted no responsibility. He had a criminal history 14 points higher than Cornejo. On a 13-point scale, he was 14 points higher. He raped multiple juveniles on multiple occasions as part of the sex trafficking scheme.

The defendant has earned a sentence of life imprisonment, and compared to any other MS-13 juvenile sex trafficker, when you compare his record and what he engaged in to what they have done, it reaffirms this assertion.

This proceeding obviously deals with a very difficult task of potentially imprisoning an individual for life. However, as the foregoing makes clear, the defendant has potentially imprisoned multiple juveniles for life with the mental anguish and trauma they suffered at his hands.

It's important to note that the defendant likely knew this day would come. The three dots which 1 adorn both of his eyes, in MS-13 culture, they stand for the three places MS-13 will take you once you join  $\parallel$ the gang, the hospital, the cemetery, or prison.

The government respectfully requests, as the quideline suggest, the facts demand, and justice requires, that this Court impose a life sentence.

THE COURT: Mr. Arif.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

22

2.3

MS. SANCHEZ: Your Honor, the defense has submitted their position as to sentencing. I believe we've also submitted a supplement filled with letters from the family of Mr. Amaya, who are also present in the courtroom today, sir.

Your Honor, the only thing that we would add Ito that is simply what all of those documents detail, which is that Mr. Amaya's life -- in his very short life has had -- has been deprived, I should say, of the most basic and fundamental things, things that you and I and everyone hopefully in this courtroom has had, which is a family, a mother, and a father.

Mr. Amaya was separated from his family at a very early age and taken out of the United States. submit, Your Honor, that the Rances Amaya that returned to the United States was not the same little boy. While he was in other parts of the world, he did receive a very cruel and very brutal introduction into

1 the life of crime and not through his own doing but through his surroundings.

While we submit, Your Honor, this is in no way an excuse, this is simply a reason and the beginning of something, the beginning of what we see today, which is the Mr. Amaya who is here before you. We ask that Your Honor consider that, and for that reason along with other reasons submitted in our documentation for leniency.

Thank you.

2

3

5

8

10

11

14

22

23

24

THE COURT: Mr. Amaya, you have the right to address the Court before it imposes sentence if you wish to do so. Do you have any anything to say?

THE DEFENDANT: How you doing? Well, Your 15 Honor, I know I haven't been a saint all of my life. 16 know I made my mistakes and stupid decisions throughout my youth. I would like to apologize for the damage 18 I've caused on the community and on any citizen that my 19 behavior has traumatized. I take responsibility for 20 any harm or action that may have been the result of someone being victimized in any kind of way. I'm Itruly, truly sorry, and this is not just words. is from my heart.

I would also like to apologize to the courts for any inconvenience that I have caused them.

1 asking that the courts and anybody else in here, including you, Your Honor, to believe me when I say 3 that I've done a lot of very bad things in my life as a juvenile and as an adult I am very ashamed of. I wish 5 to God that I could take back. I have asked God to forgive me for my reckless lifestyle and also asking 7 the Court to please have mercy on me.

I would like to express that in my presentencing report the things that I did that were bad and wrong and true, but never once did he mention the things that I did accomplish, which was getting my GED, the vocational classes that I completed, and also was enrolled in Nova.

8

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

In closing I would like to say that I've done some serious soul searching because I realize the serious mistake that has brought me to a possible end. That could very well result in me losing my three kids.

I would like to express the behavior that I displayed throughout the trial and at the end that were Itruly unnecessary. It was only my own fault and bad spirits that caused me to act foolish when I should have been more mindful of the circumstances.

I am not denying that I don't know these 24 people or that I have partied with these people. Yes, I do take responsibility for associating with them, but

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 I do not take responsibility for the charges that I'm here for today. I'll say it to my last breath.

Your Honor, whatever you decided today, I agree with it because I know God put it in you and into your heart. So thank you for hearing me.

THE COURT: Have a seat, please.

This matter is before the Court in the case of United States v. Rances Ulices Amaya.

With respect to his conviction after trial of Count 1 of the indictment charging conspiracy, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, which is a Class D felony punishable up to 5 years in prison, a \$250,000 fine, a 3-year term of supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment; and also Counts 2 to 4, which charge for which he's been convicted of sex trafficking of a child, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1591 and 2, a Class A felony, each count punishable by up to 10 years Ito life in prison, a \$250,000 fine, full restitution, 5 years to life of supervised release, a \$100 special assessment.

This 24-year-old defendant was involved in a prostitution ring involving minors between the ages of 24 114 and 18, which operated between the summer 2009 and the winter 2010. The defendant was arrested on

3

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 October 26, 2011, and has been in federal custody since that date.

On November 23, 2011, a four-count indictment was returned against him charging conspiracy to commit sex trafficking of a child and three counts of sex Itrafficking of a child. He pled not guilty to these charges and proceeded to trial on February 21, 2012. On February 23, 2012, the jury returned a verdict of quilty on all four counts.

The Court has reviewed the sentencing 11 Iquidelines. In this regard, the offense level with respect to the conspiracy charge is 30. Since it's based on the substantive offense of sex trafficking of a minor, two additional offense levels are added. Since the defendant influenced a minor to engage in prohibited sexual conduct, an additional two levels because the offense involved the commission of a sex act resulting in an overall offense level of 34. Likewise, with respect to Counts 2 through 4 of the indictment charging sexual trafficking of a child, the offense level is 34.

Counts 1 and 2 and Counts 1 and 3 and 1 and 4 The others don't, resulting in an additional three levels for an overall offense level of 37.

The defendant also is properly assessed an

1 additional 5 levels since his conduct involved a pattern of repeat sexual offenses resulting in an overall offense level of 42.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

2.3

With respect to his criminal history level, he has 12 prior convictions, 5 of which occurred as a ∥juvenile resulting in an overall criminal history --I'm sorry -- criminal history points of 13. offenses occurred while he was on probation from another offense resulting in an additional 2 levels for 10 a criminal history level of 6.

The guideline sentence for someone with an offense level of 42, criminal history VI is 360 months Ito life as to Counts 2 and 4, 60 months as to the conspiracy count, supervised release of 1 to 3 years on Count 1 and 5 years on Counts 2 to 4, a fine of \$25,000 to \$250,000 and a special assessment of \$100 per count.

The Court has also considered the sentencing factors in this case and has reviewed the information available to it not only in the presentence report but also as a result of the testimony at trial that took place in this courtroom.

The Court first considers the seriousness and nature of this offense. As to that consideration, the 24 Precord before the Court is that this defendant, beginning in June 2009, who was a known member of the

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

19

20

22

23

1 MS-13 gang, together with Alonso Cornejo, Henry Herrera, and Alexander Rivas, some of whom are also gang members, operated a prostitution ring using minor females that they had recruited. At least three of them were runaways.

Cornejo and Herrera first began prostituting the minors. They were later joined by the defendant, and the defendant and his cohorts organized and operated the details of the enterprise, including renting hotel rooms, arranging for customers, and providing girls with drugs, marijuana, and alcohol.

As far as the defendant's particular involvement, the Court must conclude based on the evidence that he played an essential if not dominating role in the operation.

As the victims confirmed in their testimony at trial, he forced them initially when they were 18 recruited to have sex with him in order to groom them for their prostitution business. He, together with the others, advertised the availability of girls, often soliciting customers where day laborers gathered to seek work.

He also arranged to have fellow gang members 24 have sex sequentially with a single girl, and he managed the business when others were busy staying with

3

5

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

1 the victims at hotels at night, taking them to their appointments, and ensuring that they did not flee.

The defendant was also described at trial as providing the muscle for the operation that ensured that the female victims did as they were told and the customers paid for sex. In that regard, he used violence or the threats of violence to keep the girls In line and compliant and for that purpose carried a weapon to deliver the girls to customers and physically assaulted the girls.

One of the female victims testified that the defendant hit her in the face when she tried to exhibit any independence and had sex with her regularly and got her drunk. Others testified that the defendant provided her with drugs and had sex regularly even as she struggled to get away. He also used his gang status to instill fear in these young victims.

The presentence report states that there are no aggravating or mitigating adjustments for defendant's role in the offense relative to others and that the defendant worked with his fellow gang members to keep the prostitution business going.

Nevertheless, the Court concludes for the purposes of this sentencing that the defendant and his role is distinguishable from the other members of this

conspiracy in several respects.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

First, as I indicated, the defendant was the acknowledged enforcer of the operation, whose reputation for violence within the MS-13 gang allowed him to keep the victims and clients in line. provided fellow gang members with free sex with these victims as a means of enhancing his stature within the gang.

Second, the defendant's criminal history is more 10 serious than that of the other coconspirators. In that regard, he had essentially established himself as a career criminal beginning with juvenile offenses at age 13, including an array of gang-related offenses, lincluding thefts, assaults, and probation violations, which included, among others, an attack on a person with a machete.

Third, the defendant is significantly older than the 18-year-old Cornejo and the 19-year-old Rivas. Based on all the evidence, it concludes that he play a more dominating role in connection with the operation of the prostitution ring.

Finally and significantly, the defendant's guideline sentence is significantly higher than the 24 lothers attributable in part to his failure to accept responsibility, as well as his higher criminal history.

3

5

7

8

9

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

1 In that regard, his criminal history of VI is compared with V for Rivas and criminal history I for Cornejo.

The Court has considered the guideline sentence, more generally, how it was calculated, the factors that resulted in that sentence, and how that sentence differed from the others who have been sentenced and why.

Against this background, the Court has considered the sentences already imposed on the other coconspirators and the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities. In that regard, Rivas has received a sentence in this court of 120 months against the guideline sentence of 168 to 210 months. Cornejo received a sentence in this court of 292 months against the guideline sentence of 292 to 360 months. has received a sentence of 300 months in state court.

The Court has also considered the life sentence given to another defendant in this court in an unrelated case which involved the more serious offense of sex trafficking of a minor under the age of 14.

The Court has also considered the history and the characteristics of this particular defendant, and there lis no doubt he has faced some challenges in his life. 24 He was born in the United States but at an early age was sent to live with relatives in El Salvador by his

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

1 mother. Then he returned here to live with his mother where he has struggled since returning.

He has an extensive juvenile record, as I said, beginning at age 13. He was expelled from high school because of his conduct, which included assaults and other disruptive behavior. As an adult, he has had multiple convictions, including convictions based on various gang-related activity.

As reflected in his long criminal history, he clearly has a disposition for violence with the 11 prospects for recidivist behavior very high, particularly in light of his gang affiliation, his lack of any real vocational skills, and his steadfast refusal certainly at least during the trial up to today's hearing of any responsibility or exhibit any remorse or any sense of regret or even wrongdoing.

In short, the Court finds little, if anything, to mitigate the otherwise applicable sentencing considerations, particularly when one considers the heinous nature of the crime and the level of depravity inherent in that crime and the harm inflicted on these young victims.

The Court has also considered the public interest 24 and the need for deterrence, both of which must be assessed with respect to the injuries inflicted on

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

1 these victims and the threat imposed by the MS gang that was part and parcel of this criminal enterprise.

With respect to these victims, some of whom were as young as 14, one cannot imagine the depths of fear and despair that they must've experienced, the effects of which will no doubt accompany them throughout their The Court is simply at a loss to understand the lives. brutal, inhumane gang culture that motivated this activity and justified in this defendant's mind such Ithings as passing these young girls around as sex toys to fellow gang members, using them to generate revenue for the gang.

In short, this offense cannot be separated from the gang culture within which it occurred, and there can be no doubt that the MS gang and its culture poses a fundamental threat to the public safety. It produces in its members a nearly impenetrable commitment to violence as a way of life, and the attitude of violence and defiance and contempt has been amply demonstrated by this defendant in this case, and the public interest requires a long-term separation of this defendant from the public.

The Court has also considered the public interest in terms of whether to impose the maximum sentence available for this offense, life in prison, which in

5

8

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

1 the federal system is without any prospect of release or whether something short of life can adequately protect the public and serve as a deterrent and a just punishment.

That judgment is a difficult one in this case given the nature of the offense, and for that purpose, the Court has considered how this offense, as serious as it is, compares with the most serious offenses for which the most severe sentences are reserved, among them life in prison.

In making that judgment, the Court has considered a variety of factors, including, first, the seriousness of the offense relative to those offenses deserving of 14 \[a maximum punishment, again, considering the impact on his victims for that purpose.

Secondly, the relatively young age of this defendant and the prosects that over time his danger to the public will decrease through aging or otherwise. And in that connection, the Court has considered the nature of his other convictions, which while serious, are not among the most serious offenses.

Third, the Court has considered the sentence limposed on the other coconspirators, where those 24 sentences fall within the applicable guideline sentencing range, and also the guideline sentence

3

4

5

6

7

11

15

16

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

1 applicable to this defendant's criminal history but assessed that the offense level assigned to those other coconspirators that were sentenced in this court.

The Court has also considered the sentencing quideline range applicable to this defendant, which sanctions a less than life sentence.

Finally, the Court has considered the likely effects of various sentences on this defendant's propensity and disposition for recidivist behavior as 10 he ages during a long-term incarceration. In that regard, the Court has considered whether it would serve to some degree the public interest to provide some incentive to this defendant to control his behavior while incarcerated with the prospect of release at some point in time because of his age or perhaps some degree of rehabilitation, as well as post incarceration supervision, the defendant would no longer pose a threat to the public.

Finally, the Court has considered the letters it has received from, among others, his fiancee, the mother of some his children, his brother, and his mother, who speak to the challenges that he's faced and what they see as good qualities in him.

Based on all the information, the Court has sufficient information to impose sentence at this time.

2

3

5

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. Amaya, will you come to the podium, please.

Mr. Amaya, it will be the sentence of this Court as to Count 1, conspiracy, that you be committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a period of 60 months followed by 5 years of supervised release. As to Counts 2, 3, and  $\blacksquare 4$  , you are committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 600 months, 50 years, as to each of those counts to run concurrently as to each other and also as to Count 1 followed by lifetime supervised release with the standard conditions and also the special condition of registration as a sex offender, no contact with MS-13 or other gang members, and substance abuse testing as directed.

The Court finds you have no ability to pay a fine; therefore, no fine will be imposed. The Court will limpose a \$100 special assessment as to each of the four counts for a total of \$400.

That will be the sentence of the Court.

You have the right to appeal your conviction as 20 well as the sentences that are imposed by filing a notice of appeal within 14 days from the date of **∥**judgment. You can ask your lawyer to do that or the clerk, who will file the notice of appeal. That will be the sentence of the Court.

Anything further?

```
1
              MR. TERWILLIGER: No, Your Honor.
 2
              MR. ARIF: No, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Counsel is excused.
              The Court will stand in recess.
 4
 5
                        Time: 9:46 a.m.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
        I certify that the foregoing is a true and
22
    accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.
23
24
                                           /s/
                             Rhonda F. Montgomery, CCR, RPR
25
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```

CJA 24 AUTI <b>GRIS</b> & TIĆN LILI BOYD LICO BET FOR AR JYMEND OF CHRANG CHIPG 3:11-0F7   IEC   08/23/12					Page 25 of R. Page 101:220120044				
1. CIR./DIST./DIV. CODE					VOUCHER NUMBER				
3. MAG. DKT./DEF. NUMBER		4. DIST. DKT/DEF. NU 1:11-CR-00	MBER	5. APPEALS DKT./I 12-44		6. OTHER DKT. NUMBER		UMBER	
7. IN CASE/MATTER OF (Case Name) USA		8. PAYMENT CATEGORY		9. TYPE PERSON REPRESENTED		10. REPRESENTATION TYPE			
vs. Rances Ulices Amaya		Felony		Adult Defendant		CC			
11. OFFENSE(S) CHARGE	D (Cite U.S.	Code, Title & Section)	f more than one o	offense, list (up to five)	major offenses cha	rged, accor	ding to seve	erity of offense.	
18 1591.F - Sex traffic	cking of ch	ildren or by force frau	id or coercion						
12. PROCEEDING IN WHI Appeal to Fourth Circ  13. PROCEEDING TO BE TRA statement, defense argume Sentencing - June 1,	NSCRIBED	CRIPT IS TO BE USED  (Describe specifically) No	(Describe brieft	anscripts are not to in	clude prosecution o	pening stat urt (see Iten	ement, defe 1 14).	ense opening	
14. SPECIAL AUTHORIZATIONS (Services Other Than Ordinary)							JUD	GE'S INITIALS	
SEECIAL AUTHORIZATIONS (Services Other Than Orainary)								GE 5 HVITALS	
A: Apportioned Cost _		% of transcript with	_	_			-		
B. 14-Day	14-Day   Expedied   Daily   Hourty Transcript   Readding Ordered Transcript								
C. Prosecution Opening Statement Prosecution Argument Prosecution Rebuttal Defense Opening Statement Defense Argument Voir Dire Jury Instructions									
D. In this multi-defendant case, commercial duplication of transcripts will impede the delivery of accelerated transcript services to persons proceeding under the Criminal Justice Act.									
15. ATTORNEY'S STATEM		ecum under the ermin	air gustice 11ct.	16. COURT ORDE	R				
As the attorney for the person of the transcript requested is request authorization to obtain States pursuant to the Crimina	necessary for the transcr	adequate representation. ipt services at the expense	I, therefore,	Financial eligibility of satisfaction, the autho					
Signature of Attorney Date  Michael S. Arif				Signature of Presiding Judicial Officer or by Order of the Court					
	(703) 323				Date of Order Nunc Pro Tunc Date				
X Panel Attorney Reta	ined Attorney	☐ Pro-Se ☐ Lega	l Organization						
17. COURT REPORTER/TRAN	SCRIBER ST	CATUS	CLAIM FOI	R SERVICES 18. PAYEE'S NAME (1	First Name, M.I., L	ast Name, i	ncluding ar	ny suffix), AND	
X Official Contract Transcriber Other				MAILING ADDRESS  Montgomery Court Reporting, Inc.  401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314					
19. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER OR EMPLOYER ID NUMBER OF PAYEE									
	42-158	32241			Telephone Numb	er: (70	3) 299-4	599	
20. TRANSCRIPT		INCLUDE PAGE NUMBERS	NO. OF PAGES	RATE PER PAGE	SUB-TOTAL	LESS AN APPORT		TOTAL	
Original		1 to 24	24	3.65	87.60			87.60	
Expenses (Itemize)									
				TO	TAL AMOUNT	CLAIME	ED:	87.60	
21. CLAIMAINT'S CERTIFICA I hereby certify that the abo other source for these service	ve claim is for	RVICE PROVIDED r services rendered and is co	rrect, and that I ha				<b> </b>	from any	
Signature of Claimant/F	Payee				Date	08-23	3-2012		
44 CDDDWS-12-1-1-1				ERTIFICATION					
22. CERTIFICATION OF ATTO	ORNEY OR C	CLERK I hereby certify that	the services were r	endered and that the tra	nscript was received.				
_	Signature	e of Attorney or Clerk			Date				
23. APPROVED FOR PAYMEN	T	APPROVE	D FOR PAYM	<u> 1ENT - COURT</u>	USE ONLY	1 2	4. AMOUNT	T APPROVED	
Signature of Judicial Officer or Clerk of Court  Date							\$87.60		